

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/691,833	DIVAKAR ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Boris L. Chervinsky	2835	

All Participants:
Status of Application: _____

 (1) Boris L. Chervinsky.

(3) _____.

 (2) Brian M. Berliner, Reg. No. 34,549.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 5 April 2005
Time: _____

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No
 If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

1

Prior art documents discussed:

Part II.
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:
Claim 1 is amended by examiner's amendment to avoid ambiguity in the claim.
Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

BORIS CHERVINSKY
PRIMARY EXAMINER



(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)